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The Chartered Society of Physiotherapy (CSP) is the professional, educational and trade union body for the UK’s 51,000 chartered physiotherapists, physiotherapy students and support workers.

The CSP welcomes the opportunity to respond to the consultation on independent prescribing by radiographers. Our response is focused on the areas in which we feel we can most effectively contribute. We would be pleased to supply additional information on any of the points raised in our response at a later stage.

Physiotherapists gained independent prescribing rights in England in August 2013, and implementation throughout the rest of the UK was achieved by September 2014.

Already this experience is delivering improvements in patient care and efficiencies. Fully utilising prescribing physiotherapists and other allied health professions will be increasingly critical to success in transforming the health and social care system to be sustainable, preventative, and organised around patient needs.

There are five options for the introduction of independent prescribing by radiographers:
Option 1: No change
Option 2: Independent prescribing for any condition from a full formulary
Option 3: Independent prescribing for specified conditions from a specified formulary
Option 4: Independent prescribing for any condition from a specified formulary
Option 5: Independent prescribing for specific conditions from a full formulary

1. Should amendments to legislation be made to enable radiographers to prescribe independently?

1.1 Yes. Prescribing is a professional activity that should be available to all appropriately registered health care professionals where it is demonstrated that a) there is a defined patient need for that skill within that professional group and b) the professional has demonstrated that they have the necessary education, training
and competence to prescribe safely and effectively for patient benefit. Prescribing is no longer viewed as a task that sits only within certain professional silos and the relevant legislation should continue to be amended and updated to reflect the growing number of registered professionals who undertake this activity.

2. **Which is your preferred option for the introduction of independent prescribing for radiographers?**

2.1 Option 2: We support the proposal for appropriately trained radiographers to be able to prescribe independently any medicine within the scope of radiographer practice and the individual practitioner’s competence. This is a logical development in health policy and professional practice to enable patients to receive complete episodes of care by registered health professionals working within their own specialist competencies.

2.2 The current structure to regulator-approved non-medical prescribing programmes is such that all professionals have to achieve the same minimal educational level in prescribing practice. Therefore whilst the range of professions that currently have prescribing rights all come from different educational backgrounds with regard to pharmaceutical knowledge, on completing the programmes, all achieve the standards required for safe prescribing practice, therefore we support radiographers prescribing practice. Not all radiographers will be able to prescribe, only those who can demonstrate that they meet the advanced practice educational practice criteria to undertake prescribing training programmes, which is in line with the requirements for other professionals.

2.3 Moreover, radiographers have been able to use Patient Specific Directions (PSDs) and Patient Group Directions (PGDs), and are currently supplementary prescribers and so already have extensive experience in the safe use of medicines. The introduction of independent prescribing is a clear logical step in radiographer practice. The nature and context of current and evolving radiographer practice is such that appropriately trained radiographers should be able to access a range of lawful medicines mechanisms to ensure that patients receive the right treatment, including the right medicines, at the right time in the clinical care pathways, without unnecessary delay.

2.4 Limited list formularies have been repeatedly shown to lack the flexibility and responsiveness required of an effective and modern health care system. Restricted formularies do not allow timely access to prescribing new medicines, the replacement of medicines no longer available with viable alternatives or the prescription of medicines which have their legal classification category changed. These factors can delay the delivery of evidence based care, and require the constant attention of the Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency (MHRA), CHM and possibly the Advisory Council on the Misuse of Drugs (ACMD) and maintain unnecessary cost pressure on NHS England the Department of Health.

2.5 To restrict access based on ‘condition’ would be problematic, as many patients present with complex, mixed health problems which would be difficult to define within a specific care, thus restricting the care the practitioner might otherwise be able to offer. Risk would arise with the governance and monitoring of any such list, and the uncertainty created may lead to a limited uptake of prescribing practice.
particularly where the practitioner may not see the list as clinically relevant and/or useful to their employing organisation, as it may not remain consistent with the current models of service delivery.

3. Do you agree that radiographers should be able to prescribe independently from the proposed list of controlled drugs?

3.1 Yes. Prescribing is a professional activity that should be available to all appropriately registered health care professionals where it is demonstrated that a) there is a defined patient need for that skill within that professional group and b) the professional has demonstrated that they have the necessary education, training and competence to prescribe safely and effectively for patient benefit.

3.2 There is a strong and clear justification for radiographers to be able to prescribe appropriate Controlled Drugs, subject to relevant amendment of The Misuse of Drugs Regulations 2001. Patients requiring such prescriptions are those in acute and chronic pain, which is often severe and may be associated with life threatening conditions. The quality of care can be significantly enhanced by ensuring appropriate access to the most appropriate medicines, subject always to proper control and governance of the medicines themselves, and ensuring that the practitioner is acting only within their education, training and competence.

4. Should amendments to medicines legislation be made to allow radiographers who are independent prescribers to mix medicines prior to administration and direct others to mix?

4.1 Yes. It is a legal technicality of practice that the mixing of two licensed medicines together prior to administration to a patient renders the subsequent preparation unlicensed, which introduces further legal limitations on use. It is common practice that the mixing of some licensed products (where not specifically contra-indicated) enables quicker, more comfortable administration of multiple medicines to patients. It is vital that mixing of medicines at the point of administration is permitted in law, in all cases where it is in the patient’s best interest to mix, and it is safe to do so. It is important that educational programmes specifically cover the issues related to mixing including drug interactions, drug stability and therapeutic benefit.

5. Do you have any additional information on any aspects not already considered as to why the proposal for independent prescribing SHOULD go forward?

5.1 The clinical arguments for the introduction of independent prescribing, by any registered professional group, are that early intervention achieves better outcomes for the patient. That supports the case for appropriately trained individuals to meet clinical need in their patients at as early stage as possible. Recent experiences of the introduction of independent prescribing by physiotherapists and podiatrists indicates tangible benefits to both patient experience and to service design and provision. We welcome the proposal to extend independent prescribing to radiographers in the hope that the same tangible benefits will be apparent in radiographer care pathways.
6. Do you have any additional information on any aspects not already considered as to why the proposal for independent prescribing SHOULD NOT go forward?

6.1 No comments.

7. Does the ‘Consultation Stage Impact Assessment’ give a realistic indication of the likely costs, benefits and risks of the proposal?

7.1 Yes, in addition: The costs to the HCPC in approving radiographer programme needs to be considered. There may be costs for services where a doctor is not part of the service model/provision and whose expertise will be required to be brought in to act as the designated medical practitioner to provide supervision for the practice-based aspects to the prescribing programmes.

8. Do you have any comments on the proposed practice guidance for radiographer prescribers?

8.1 This document will be invaluable in supporting prescribing radiographers in their practice. The content and format of the document broadly follows the existing guidance that is in place for physiotherapists and podiatrists. This supports the view that prescribing is a professional activity to which the same practice guidance standards should broadly apply across all professions.

9. Do you have any comments on the ‘Draft Outline Curriculum Framework for Education Programmes to Prepare Radiographers as Independent Prescribers’?

9.1 We welcome the clear inclusion of a definition of radiographer prescribing practice that is clear and understandable to everyone. The content and format of the document broadly follows the existing guidance that is in place for physiotherapists and podiatrists. This supports the view that prescribing is a professional activity to which the same practice guidance standards should broadly apply across all professions.

9.2 We are reassured by the reference to existing regulatory standards for allied health professional prescribers and that the entry criteria are consistent across all groups. The reference to a common competency framework enhances the evidence that prescribing is a professional task which requires equal skill regardless of whichever professional is practicing the skill.

10. Do you have any comments on the ‘Draft Outline Curriculum Framework for Conversion Programmes to Prepare Radiographer Supplementary Prescribers as Independent Prescribers’?

10.1 We note that this is a clear and separate curriculum framework for those existing radiographer supplementary prescribers who wish to add independent prescribing to their qualifications. We recognise that this group of radiographers will require a separate educational programme covering only those aspects of practice that relate to autonomous prescribing decisions.
10.2 The content and format of the document broadly follows the existing guidance that is in place for physiotherapist and podiatrist conversion programmes. This supports the view that prescribing is a professional activity to which the same practice guidance standards should broadly apply across all professions.

11. Do you have any comments on how this proposal may impact either positively or negatively on specific equality characteristics, particularly concerning: disability, ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, age, religion or belief, and human rights?

11.1 No comments.

12. Do you have any comments on how this proposal may impact either positively or negatively on any specific groups e.g. students, travellers, immigrants, children, offenders?

12.1 We do not anticipate any barriers to any defined group. The development of prescribing rights for further professional groups means that such groups should have access to the relevant medicines they need, from whichever health professional group they come into contact with.
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